Seeking Accreditation Visit (SAV)

Confidential Team Recommendation Form

For: \_\_\_ Visit 1 \_\_\_ Visit 2 \_\_\_ Visit 3 \_\_\_ Visit 4 Visit \_\_\_

Institution Visited:

Institution Status Before Visit: \_\_\_ Granted Eligibility \_\_\_ Granted Candidacy

Team Chair: Date of Recommendation: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Standards: \_\_\_ 2013 \_\_\_ 2023

|  |
| --- |
| The Recommended Accrediting ActionRefer to the *Commission Decisions on Institutions* section in the *Handbook of Accreditation,* the *Seeking Accreditation Compliance Guide,* andthe *Periods of Accreditation Guide* for more information. Teams should be able to recommend to the Commission whether, for each Standard, the institution has demonstrated that it has met the standards at a level sufficient for granting Candidacy or at a level sufficient for granting Initial Accreditation*.* Non-compliance may also be indicated.Sufficient for Candidacy:* Evidence of a foundational understanding of the CFRs and the development of initial structures and process to operationalize them
* Evidence of a plan for the ongoing development and improvement of structures and processes to fully operationalize the CFRs
* Evidence of engagement with stakeholders to inform quality improvement efforts
* Evidence of financial and operational sustainability and plans for continued growth and stability

Sufficient for Initial Accreditation* Evidence of compliance with the CFRs, including documentation of ongoing monitoring and improvement efforts
* Evidence of a strong organizational culture of quality
* Evidence of effective communication and collaboration among all levels of the institution
* Evidence of ongoing evaluation of quality improvement initiatives, including a plan for continued sustainability

  |

*Revised, July 2023*

|  |
| --- |
| I. Indicate Recommendation in Either A or B Depending on Institution’s Current Status: |
| 1. For Eligible Institutions: Receive the Team Report and:
 |
| ***\_\_\_*** Grant Candidacy for five years \_\_\_Schedule another Seeking Accreditation Visit in: ***Fall 20\_\_\_\_***  ***Spring 20\_\_\_\_******\_\_\_*** Continue Eligibility and schedule another Seeking Accreditation Visit in: ***Fall 20\_\_\_\_***  ***Spring 20\_\_\_\_******\_\_\_*** Deny Candidacy and withdraw Eligibility***\_\_\_*** Grant Initial Accreditation for: \_\_\_Six years \_\_\_ Eight years \_\_\_ Ten years\_\_\_ Following Initial Accreditation, schedule a Special Visit in**:** ***Fall 20\_\_\_\_***  ***Spring 20\_\_\_\_***\_\_\_ Following Initial Accreditation, schedule an Interim Report on**:** ***November 1, 20\_\_\_\_***  ***March 1, 20\_\_\_\_***\_\_\_ Following Initial Accreditation, schedule a Progress Report on (specify exact date): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Recommend the date of Initial Accreditation be applied retroactively to (specify exact date): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. For Candidate Institutions: Receive the Team Report and:
 |
| \_\_\_Grant Initial Accreditation for: \_\_\_Six years \_\_\_ Eight years \_\_\_ Ten years \_\_\_ Following Initial Accreditation, schedule a Special Visit in**:** ***Fall 20\_\_\_\_***  ***Spring 20\_\_\_\_*** \_\_\_ Following Initial Accreditation, schedule an Interim Report on**:** ***November 1, 20\_\_\_***  ***March 1, 20\_\_\_\_*** \_\_\_ Following Initial Accreditation, schedule a Progress Report on (specify exact date): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_ Recommend the date of Initial Accreditation be applied retroactively to (specify exact date): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Continue Candidacy and schedule another Seeking Accreditation Visit in: ***Fall 20\_\_\_\_***  ***Spring 20\_\_\_\_***\_\_\_Continue Candidacy and issue a sanction: \_\_\_ Issue a Warning (maximum two years) with a Special Visit in**:** **Fall 20\_\_\_\_** **Spring 20\_\_\_\_** \_\_\_ Impose Probation (maximum two years) with a Special Visit in: **Fall 20\_\_\_\_** **Spring 20\_\_\_\_** \_\_\_ Impose Show Cause Order (maximum one year) with a Special Visit in: **Fall 20\_\_\_\_\_\_** **Spring 20\_\_\_\_\_\_**\_\_\_Withdraw Candidacy on (specify exact date): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

|  |
| --- |
| II. Optional Additional Action (applies only to Candidate and Accredited institutions): |
| \_\_\_ Issue a Notice of Concern (requires a Special Visit within four years). Please explain in section IV. |

|  |
| --- |
| III. Team Recommendation Regarding Standards and CFRs: |
| NOTE: Per WSCUC policy, only the Commission is authorized to make the final determination as to whether or not an institution is in compliance with the Standards. The Commission relies, however, on the discernment of peer reviewers as they exercise their own collective judgment regarding an institution’s minimal or substantial compliance with the Standards. This form is designed to convey the team’s findings to the Commission to inform its deliberations in making the compliance determination. It conveys the team’s confidential recommendations to the Commission; it is not a final determination of compliance and does not become part of the public record. |
| Standard 1:**\_\_\_** Non-Compliance**\_\_\_** Sufficient for Candidacy**\_\_\_** Sufficient for Initial AccreditationWhich CFRs under this Standard require additional attention for subsequent review for compliance at the level of Initial Accreditation?Which CFRs under this Standard require additional attention for subsequent review for improvement?Standard 2:**\_\_\_** Non-Compliance**\_\_\_** Sufficient for Candidacy**\_\_\_** Sufficient for Initial AccreditationWhich CFRs under this Standard require additional attention for subsequent review for compliance at the level of Initial Accreditation?Which CFRs under this Standard require additional attention for subsequent review for improvement?Standard 3:**\_\_\_** Non-Compliance**\_\_\_** Sufficient for Candidacy**\_\_\_** Sufficient for Initial AccreditationWhich CFRs under this Standard require additional attention for subsequent review for compliance at the level of Initial Accreditation?Which CFRs under this Standard require additional attention for subsequent review for improvement?Standard 4:**\_\_\_** Non-Compliance**\_\_\_** Sufficient for Candidacy **\_\_\_** Sufficient for Initial Accreditation Which CFRs under this Standard require additional attention for subsequent review for compliance at the level of Initial Accreditation?Which CFRs under this Standard require additional attention for subsequent review for improvement? |

|  |
| --- |
| IV. Explanation of the Team Recommendation: |
| Please provide a statement of the reasons for the recommended action, including the team’s evaluation of how effectively the institution has addressed the Standards. If the team is recommending the application of a retroactive accreditation date, provide the rationale for the selection of that date. Add additional pages as needed. |